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ABSTRACT. A recently developed quantum mechanical model of cognition theorizes that neuronal
signaling is significantly influenced by entangled Posner molecules (Ca\textsubscript{9}(PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{6}) in the brain. According
to this model, entangled Posner molecules form upon the inorganic pyrophosphatase-mediated
hydrolysis of singlet pyrophosphate, which produces two entangled phosphates. These entangled
phosphates then coordinate with surrounding Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and other entangled phosphate molecules to
form the S\textsubscript{6} symmetric Posner molecule, which is presumed to serve as a qubit for neuronal signaling.
This theory assumes that the hydrolysis of pyrophosphate significantly favors singlet pyrophosphate
due to its ability to freely rotate while in the binding pocket of pyrophosphatase. However, to our
knowledge, this assumption has never been tested. Using molecular dynamics, we were able to con-
clude that singlet pyrophosphate does not rotate within a timescale of 1 \( \mu \)s while present in the
pocket of pyrophosphatase. These results call into question the role of nuclear spin in the specificity
of the pyrophosphatase-mediated hydrolysis of pyrophosphate.

1. Introduction

While seldom taken into consideration, ligand nuclear spin can significantly affect both the
selectivity and rate of enzymatic reactions [1] [2] [3]. One way that ligand nuclear spin may affect
reaction specificity is through the effect that the nuclear spin state of a molecule has on its rotational
freedom. This nuclear-dependent rotational parameterization is especially relevant for symmetric
molecules that have two spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) nuclei about the axis of symmetry, such as pyrophosphate (PP\textsubscript{i}) [4]. A
symmetric quantum system with 2 spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) nuclei has three unique triplet nuclear spin states

\[
X_t(\alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 
|t_+\rangle = |\uparrow\uparrow\rangle \\
|t_-\rangle = |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle \\
|t_0\rangle = \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}},
\end{cases}
\]

and one singlet spin state

\[
X_s(\alpha, \beta) = |s\rangle = \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}},
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the spin variable for the first phosphorus nucleus and \( \beta \) is the spin variable for the second
phosphorus nucleus. Symmetry-wise, the three triplet states are all symmetric, while the singlet
state is antisymmetric. Since phosphorus nuclei are fermions, they are subjected to the antisymmetry principle

\[ \Psi(q_1, q_2) = -\Psi(q_2, q_1), \]

where \( q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) are vectors of position and spin variables, which requires the sign of the total wave eigenfunction to change following an exchange of the phosphorus nuclei [5]. In the specific case of PP\(_i\), the total angular momentum is a normalized superposition of the singlet and triplet states

\[ \Psi_{PP_i} = \psi_s(r) \lambda_s(\alpha, \beta) + \psi_t(r) \lambda_t(\alpha, \beta), \]

where the singlet and triplet spatial eigenfunctions \( \psi_{s,t}(r) \) are approximated by the spherical harmonics \( Y_{l_m}(\theta, \phi) \), as depicted in Figures 1 and 2 [6] [7].

Since the singlet spin state is antisymmetric, its spatial component of the wave function is required to be even, and for the triplet spin state, which is symmetric, the spatial component of the wave function must be antisymmetric. This symmetry stipulation leads to singlet rotational states limited to spherical harmonics with even \( \ell \) values, and the triplet spherical harmonics having odd \( \ell \) values.

Under normal conditions where PP\(_i\) is freely tumbling in the extracellular matrix, \( \Psi_{PP_i} \) is the superposition of the singlet and triplet states. However, when PP\(_i\) binds in the pocket of pyrophosphatase, its angular momentum \( L \sim 0 \) and the spin eigenfunction for PP\(_i\) reduces either to the pure singlet or pure triplet state. The minimum triplet spherical harmonic results in triplet PP\(_i\) having extremely constrained rotational freedom. The opposite is true for the singlet state [6] [1] [7].

In certain circumstances, these differences in rotational freedom would be expected to affect the specificity of enzymatic catalysis. One of these situations would be if the enzymatic reaction is dependent on H\(_2\)O being able to access the binding pocket, which is often the case for bio-organic hydrolysis reactions [8]. However, recent ab initio studies examining the mechanism of the pyrophosphatase-catalyzed hydrolysis of PP\(_i\) strongly suggest that this enzymatic catalysis does not follow this common trend [9]. Though the hydrolysis of PP\(_i\) is dependent on the presence of 9 H\(_2\)O, 8 of the H\(_2\)O are believed to coordinate with 4 magnesiums that surround the binding pocket and only one H\(_2\)O is actually required to be present in the pocket for hydrolysis to take place, (see Figure 3) [9].

A recently proposed quantum mechanical cognition model by Fisher et. al. [10] assumes that the hydrolysis of pyrophosphate significantly favors singlet PP\(_i\). However, the hydrolysis of PP\(_i\) is dependent on only one hydroxide OH\(^-\) being present just outside the binding pocket of pyrophosphatase [9]. This eliminates the requirement that the rotational freedom of the PP\(_i\) expands the space within the binding site to accommodate the H\(_2\)O molecules required in Fisher’s original model, which suggests that the freedom of rotation, and by extension the nuclear spin state, may not affect the rate of hydrolysis as Fisher originally proposed.

In order to test the rotational freedom of the singlet and triplet nuclear spin states, we used molecular dynamics (MD) to classically simulate the rotation of both constrained PP\(_i\) and unconstrained PP\(_i\) in the binding pocket of pyrophosphate. The constrained PP\(_i\) represented the triplet state, and the unconstrained PP\(_i\) represented the singlet state. The time scale of rotation of PP\(_i\) was then measured following the completion of both of the singlet and triplet simulations, and these results were used to determine if PP\(_i\) rotates in the pocket.
2. Materials and Methods

In order to determine the hydrolysis of PP$_i$'s dependence on the rotational freedom of PP$_i$, two MD simulations, one with singlet PP$_i$ in the binding pocket of pyrophosphatase and one with triplet PP$_i$ in the binding pocket, were run and the rotation of singlet PP$_i$ throughout the simulation was measured. A pyrophosphatase homology model constructed from an inorganic pyrophosphatase human sequence [11] and Escherichia coli (E. coli) pyrophosphatase structure (2AUU) [12] was used as the enzyme in all of the MD simulations [13] [14] [15]. Topology files for PP$_i$ and pyrophosphatase were generated using the force field parameterization programs Antechamber and LEaP, which are part of the AmberTools18 MD suite [16] [17] [18].

After generating the simulation’s topology files, the system was simulated using constant pressure MD (NPT) with the MD suite OpenMM [19]. The NPT simulation was run to relax the system’s density and to generate box vectors for the following constant volume MD simulation (NVT) [20]. Following the completion of the NPT simulation, two 1 µs NVT simulations, one with singlet PP$_i$ and one with triplet PP$_i$, were run using OpenMM [19] in order to determine if singlet PP$_i$ rotates while it is present in the pocket of pyrophosphatase. In the singlet NVT simulation, PP$_i$ was unconstrained, which represented the freedom of rotation that singlet PP$_i$ possesses, while the lack of rotational freedom of the triplet PP$_i$ was simulated by adding a CustomBondForce. The restraints were added between the first PP$_i$ phosphorus in the topology file (P1) and the magnesium whose resid is 293 (MG293), and also the second phosphorus (P2) and the magnesium whose resid is 294 (MG294) [19] [21]. All of the parameters that were used in the NPT and NVT simulations are listed in Table 1. Upon completion of the singlet NVT simulation, the distances between P1-MG293 and P2-MG294 were measured at each of the simulation’s frames using the MD simulation analysis package CPPTraj [22], and these results were used to determine if singlet PP$_i$ rotates while it is present in the pocket of pyrophosphatase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water box (truncated octahedron)</th>
<th>Singlet</th>
<th>Triplet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Force field</td>
<td>TIP4PEW</td>
<td>TIP4PEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (NPT)</td>
<td>310 K</td>
<td>310 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (NPT)</td>
<td>1 bar</td>
<td>1 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barostat (NPT)</td>
<td>MonteCarloBarostat</td>
<td>MonteCarloBarostat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Length (NPT)</td>
<td>0.2 * 10$^{-9}$s</td>
<td>0.2 * 10$^{-9}$s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation Length (NVT)</td>
<td>1.0 * 10$^{-6}$s</td>
<td>1.0 * 10$^{-6}$s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrator</td>
<td>Langevin</td>
<td>Langevin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platform</td>
<td>CUDA</td>
<td>CUDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NonBondedMethod</td>
<td>PME</td>
<td>PME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constraints</td>
<td>HBonds</td>
<td>HBonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Mg Rotational Constraints (NVT)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>P1-MG293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Mg Constraint Friction Coefficient (NVT)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>25.0 kcal/mol/Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-Mg Constraint Radius (NVT)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>3.0 Å</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The conditions, constraints, and parameters that were used for running the singlet and triplet simulations.
3. Results

The time scale of PP$_i$ rotation is depicted in Figure 4. No rotation of PP$_i$ was observed over the course of the entire 1 µs simulation. The spikes in Figure 4 represent the movement of the magnesiums, not the rotation of PP$_i$. Figures 5 and 6, show the distance between MG293 and P1 in two consecutive frames in the NVT singlet simulation. The average distance between P1 and MG293 during the singlet simulation was 3.46 ± 0.07 Å, while the average distance between P1 and MG293 during the triplet simulation was 3.26 ± 0.01 Å [23] [24].

4. Discussion

The results from the singlet simulation show that the average distance between MG293 and P1 is 3.46 ± 0.07 Å, while the average distance between the same atoms in the triplet simulation is 3.26 ± 0.07 Å (difference of 5.78%). Furthermore, the singlet distance results had a standard deviation of a 0.07, which shows that distance between MG293 and P1 remained extremely close to the mean distance of 3.46 Å throughout the entire simulation, it was visually confirmed that no PP$_i$ rotations occurred. Figure 4 does show that there were multiple spikes in distance between P1 and MG293 during the singlet simulation, but these sudden changes in distance were not sustained and were later found to be due to the movement of MG293 during the simulation. The relevance of these results to the proposed mechanism in the referenced quantum cognition model [10] is that they strongly suggest that the hydrolysis of PP$_i$ does not depend on the rotational freedom of PP$_i$, and thus does not likely selectively favor singlet PP$_i$.

The quantum mechanical phenomenon of entanglement can only take place, to any appreciable extent, in a biological setting [7], between two identical spin $\frac{1}{2}$ nuclei, while they are in their singlet state. Since this hydrolytic mechanism does not selectively favor singlet PP$_i$, the originally expected yield of approximately 100% entangled P$_i$ is likely to be hydrolyzed indiscriminately. If singlet PP$_i$ is selectively hydrolyzed, then it would be due to a mechanism other than rotation affecting pocket shape and water accessibility.

MD is classical approximation of a quantum mechanical system, and aside from the conventional forcefield, we approximated the affect of nuclear spin on available rotational states by using classical restraints. Since the method we used is a classical approximation, some quantum effects have been neglected. It is possible that these quantum effects would have a significant effect on our conclusions [5] [1], but it is reasonable to assume that the timescale of rotation of PP$_i$ in this classical system would not be significantly different from that of the quantum system. Additionally, MD is the only existing viable method to address PP$_i$ rotation in a system of this size over a µs timescale.

Since an X-ray crystal structure of inorganic human pyrophosphatase was not available, we used its sequence in combination with a reference crystal structure of E. coli inorganic pyrophosphatase (PDBID: 2AUU) to construct a homology model [13]. Due to the high sequence similarity (84% in the binding site of the two sequences), we may assume that the generated homology model is likely to be very close to the actual human inorganic pyrophosphatase structure.
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6. Figures

**Figure 1.** The singlet state’s rotational freedom is approximated by the $Y_0^0 (\theta, \phi)$ spherical harmonic \( Y_0^0 (\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \) [25].

**Figure 2.** The triplet state’s rotational freedom is approximated by the $Y_0^1 (\theta, \phi)$ spherical harmonic \( Y_0^1 (\theta, \phi) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{\pi}} \cos (\theta) \) [25].
Figure 3. Brief overview of the proposed mechanism for the pyrophosphatase-catalyzed hydrolysis of PP$_i$ (derived from [9] [26]).

Figure 4. The distance between P1 and MG293 during the singlet (orange) and triplet (blue) NVT simulations. The peaks in the singlet simulation are due to the movement MG293 and not the rotation of PP$_i$. 


Figure 5. The distance between P1 and MG293 is 3.46 Å at frame 786 in the singlet NVT simulation.

Figure 6. The distance between P1 and MG293 is 7.86 Å at frame 785 in the singlet NVT simulation.